		$\smile$
1	ACKERMANN & TILAJEF, P.C.	
2	Craig J. Ackermann, CA Bar No. 229832 cja@ackermanntilajef.com	FILED
3	1180 South Beverly Drive, Suite 610 Los Angeles, California 90035	SUPERICR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT
4	Telephone: (310) 277-0614	JUN 1 5 2022
5	Facsimile: (310) 277-0635	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )
6	LAW OFFICE OF TATIANA HERNANDEZ, F Tatiana Hernandez, CA Bar No. 255322	.C. BYJESSICA MORALES, DEPUTY
7	tatiana@thawpc.com 1180 South Beverly Drive, Suite 610	
8	Los Angeles, California 90035 Telephone: (213) 909-4248	
9	Facsimile: (310) 388-0639	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, the Putative Settlement Class, the LWDA, and the Aggrieved Employees.	
11		
12	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO	
14	LUIS ANGEL ROBLES GARCIA and	CASE NO: CIVSB2125302
15	MARTIN GARCIA VERA, individually and on	CASE NO: CIVSB2125502
16	behalf of all others similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL
17	Plaintiffs,	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
18	v.	
19	VAN DRUNEN FARMS – GOLDEN STATE	Date: June 15, 2022 Time: 10:00 a.m.
20	HERBS, INC., a California Corporation,	Dept.: S-26
21	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. David Cohn
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27		
20		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	

4

On June 15, 2022, the Court held a hearing on Plaintiffs Luis Angel Robles Garcia's and Martin Garcia Vera's ("Plaintiffs") Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement between Plaintiffs and 2 Defendant Van Drunen Farms-Golden State Herbs, Inc. ("Defendant"), and Plaintiffs' Motion for 3 Attorneys' Fees and Costs. 4

5 Due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members, and the Court having considered the Joint Stipulation and Settlement Agreement of Class Action and PAGA Claims (the "Settlement 6 7 Agreement" or "Settlement"), all of the legal authorities and documents submitted in support thereof, all papers filed and proceedings had herein, all oral and written comments received regarding the proposed 8 9 settlement, and having reviewed the record in this litigation, and good cause appearing, the Court GRANTS final approval of the Settlement and ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS 10 11 AND DETERMINATIONS:

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

All terms used in this Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement (the 1. "Order") shall have the same meanings given as those terms are used and/or defined in the parties' Settlement Agreement.<sup>1</sup>

The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this litigation and subject matter 2. jurisdiction to approve this Settlement and all exhibits thereto. 16

For settlement purposes only, the Court finally certifies the Class, as defined in the 3. Agreement and as follows:

> Plaintiffs and all other hourly-paid non-exempt employees who are or were employed by Defendant in the State of California from February 17, 2017 through September 20, 2021.

The Court deems this definition sufficient for the purpose of California Rule of Court 4. 3.765(a) and for the purpose of effectuating the Settlement.

23 24

25

The Court finds that an ascertainable class of 65 Class Members exists and a well-defined 5. community of interests exists in the questions of law and fact involved because in the context of the Settlement: (i) all related matters, predominate over any individual questions; (ii) the claims of the Plaintiffs are typical of claims of the Class Members; and (iii) in negotiating, entering into and

27

28

26

<sup>1</sup> A copy of the Settlement Agreement is in the Court record as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Crag J. Ackermann in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and is made a part of this Order. -2-

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

implementing the Settlement, Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interest of the Class Members.

6. The Court is satisfied that CPT Group, Inc., which functioned as the Settlement Administrator, completed the distribution of Class Notice to the Class in a manner that comports with California Rule of Court 3.766. The Class Notice informed the Class Members of the Settlement terms, their rights to do nothing and receive their settlement share, their rights to submit a request for exclusion, their rights to comment on or object to the Settlement, and their rights to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, and be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time to respond and to act were provided by each of these procedures.

10 7. Not a single Class Member filed or submitted a written objection to the Settlement as part
11 of this notice process.

12

8.

1

2

No Class Member opted out of the Settlement.

9. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that
the Settlement Agreement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, consistent and compliant with
all applicable requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure, the California and United States
Constitutions, including the Due Process clauses, the California Rules of Court, and any other applicable
law, and in the best interests of each of the Parties and Class Members.

18 10. The Court directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms
and declares the Settlement Agreement to be binding on all Class Members.

11. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement has been reached as a result of informed
and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted
extensive investigation and research, and their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate their respective
positions.

12. The Court also finds that Settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial
litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. Additionally,
after considering the monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement in light of the challenges posed
by continued litigation, and the Court concludes that Class Counsel secured significant relief for Class
Members.

13. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendant. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, or liability whatsoever by or against Defendant.

14.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The Court appoints Plaintiffs as Class Representatives and finds them to be adequate.

15. The Court appoints Craig J. Ackermann and Avi Kreitenberg of Ackermann & Tilajef, P.C. and Tatiana Hernandez of Law Office of Tatiana Hernandez, P.C. as Class Counsel, and finds each of them to be adequate, experienced, and well-versed in similar class action litigation.

- 16. The terms of the Agreement, including the gross settlement amount of \$185,000.00, and the individual Settlement Shares, are fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class and to each Class Member, and the Court grants final approval of the Settlement set forth in the Agreement, subject to this Order. The Court approves the following allocations, which fall within the ranges stipulated by and through the 14 Settlement Agreement:
  - a. The \$5,500.00 designated for payment to CPT Group, Inc., the Settlement Administrator, is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of, and orders the Parties to make, the payment to the Settlement Administrator in accordance with the Agreement.
  - b. The \$61,666.66 requested by Plaintiffs and Class Counsel for the Class Counsel's attorneys' fees is fair and reasonable in light of the benefit obtained for the Class. The Court grants final approval of, awards, and orders the Class Counsel Fees Payment to be made in accordance with the Agreement.
  - The Court awards \$6,917.76 in litigation costs, an amount which the Court finds to be C. reflective of the reasonable costs incurred. The Court grants final approval of and orders the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment in this amount to be made in accordance with the Agreement and divided between counsel in proportion with their respective costs expenditures.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>27</sup> The Settlement Agreement contemplates litigation cost reimbursement in the amount of \$7,500.00 which was already preliminarily approved by this Court and noticed to the Class; however, Plaintiffs' counsel is only requesting reimbursement 28 of litigation costs in the amount of \$6,797.76, with the balance added to the Net Settlement Amount to be distributed pro rata

- d. The **\$6,250.00** requested by Plaintiffs for each Class Representative Payment is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of and orders the Class Representative Payment to be made in accordance with the Agreement.
- e. The Court grants final approval of the \$7,500.00 PAGA payment to the LWDA and orders the payment to be made in accordance with the Agreement.

17. The Court orders the Parties to comply with and carry out all terms and provisions of the Settlement, to the extent that the terms thereunder do not contradict or conflict with this Order, in which case the provisions of this Order shall take precedence and supersede the Settlement.

Nothing in the Settlement or this Order purports to extinguish or waive Defendant's rights 9 18. to continue to oppose the merits of the claims in this Action or class treatment of these claims in this case 10if the Settlement fails to become final or effective, or in any other case without limitation. The Settlement 11 is not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Order or the subsequent Judgment that Plaintiff has asked 12 13 the Court to enter based on this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations against Defendant in the Court proceeding or any wrongdoing by Defendant. Neither the Settlement nor this Order or the 14 subsequent Court Judgment is a finding that certification of the Class is proper for any purpose or 15 proceeding other than for settlement purposes. 16

17 19. All Class Members shall be bound by the Settlement and this Order, including the Class
18 Released Claims<sup>3</sup> and Release of PAGA Claims<sup>4</sup> in favor of Defendant and the other Released Parties<sup>5</sup> as
19 set forth in the Agreement, and are permanently barred and enjoined from prosecuting against Defendant
20 and the other Released Parties any and all of Class Members' Class Released Claims and Release of PAGA
21 Claims as defined in the Agreement.

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

20. Plaintiffs are bound to the release of claims against Defendant and the other Released

<sup>24 ||</sup> to the Participating Class Members.

<sup>25 &</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Class Released Claims" means those claims alleged in Plaintiffs' LWDA letters and in their Complaint ("Complaint"), and that arose during the Class Period, including claims under Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226(a) and (e), 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 558, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1199, and 2802, as well as Sections 3, 7, 11 and 12 of IWC Wage Order No. 14 and

or any other applicable Wage Order(s), and section 17200 et seq. of the California Business and Professions Code based on the foregoing. (S.A., ¶ 59).

<sup>27 4 &</sup>quot;Release of PAGA Claims" means any and all civil penalty claims predicated on the claims alleged in the Complaint under PAGA, Labor Code section 266 et seq. (S.A., ¶ 60).

<sup>28 &</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> "Released Parties" means Defendant and all of its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, shareholders, members, agents, predecessors, successors, and assigns. (S.A., ¶ 22).

Parties as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and is permanently barred from prosecuting against
 Defendant and the other Released Parties any and all of Plaintiffs' Released Claims as defined in the
 Agreement.

4 21. The Parties shall bear their own respective attorneys' fees and costs except as otherwise
5 provided in the Settlement Agreement.

22. The Court approves the one hundred eighty (180) day period for cashing of checks. Any funds associated with stale checks that have not been cashed within one hundred eighty days (180) days will be deemed void and shall be distributed to a *cy pres* recipient to be agreed upon by the Parties.

9 23. Pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h), the Court retains jurisdiction solely for 10 purposes of implementing the terms of the settlement, such as requiring the filing of a final report on 11 distributions made to the Class Members, enforcing the Settlement Agreement, addressing settlement 12 administration matters, and addressing such post-Judgment matters as may be appropriate under court 13 rules or applicable law.

24. Plaintiffs or the Settlement Administrator shall file with the Court a report regarding the status of distribution within sixty (60) days after all funds have been distributed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 15 71 DATED:

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

HON. DAVID COHN JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA